

Gravity's Rainbow: a bridge towards Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

Remo Garattini^{a,b} Emmanuel N. Saridakis^{c,d}

^a *Università degli Studi di Bergamo, Facoltà di Ingegneria, Viale Marconi 5, 24044 Dalmine (Bergamo) Italy*

^b *I.N.F.N. - sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy*

^c *Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095-CNRS, Université Pierre & Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France*

^d *Instituto de Física, Pontificia Universidad de Católica de Valparaíso, Casilla 4950, Valparaíso, Chile*

E-mail: Remo.Garattini@unibg.it, Emmanuel_Saridakis@baylor.edu

ABSTRACT: We investigate the connection between Gravity's Rainbow and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, since both theories incorporate a modification in the UltraViolet regime which improves their quantum behavior at the cost of the Lorentz invariance loss. In particular, extracting the Wheeler-De Witt equations of the two theories in the case of Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker and spherically symmetric geometries, we establish a correspondence that bridges them.

KEYWORDS: Gravity's Rainbow, Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, Wheeler-De Witt equation

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Hořava-Lifshitz gravity	3
2.1	Detailed balance version	4
2.2	Projectable version	4
2.3	Non-projectable version	4
3	The WDW equation in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity	5
4	The WDW equation in Gravity's Rainbow	7
5	Correspondence of Gravity's Rainbow with Hořava-Lifshitz gravity	8
6	Correspondence in spherically symmetric backgrounds	9
7	Conclusions	11
A	Kinetic term in Gravity's Rainbow with a time-dependent energy term	12
B	The Lichnerowicz equation for the graviton	13

1 Introduction

The idea that General Relativity (GR) is not the fundamental gravitational theory and that needs to be modified or extended is quite old. On the one hand, the idea of a small-scale, UltraViolet (UV) modification of GR arises from the non-renormalizability of the theory and the difficulties towards its quantization [1]. In particular, since the usual loop-expansion procedure gives rise to UV-divergent Feynman diagrams, the requirement for a UV-complete gravitational theory, which has GR as a low-energy limit, becomes necessary. On the other hand, we know that the large-scale, InfraRed (IR) modifications of GR might be the explanation of the observed late-time universe acceleration (see [2] and references therein) and/or of the inflationary stage [3]. Due to their significance, both directions led to a huge amount of research.

Concerning the modification of the UV behavior, it was realized that the insertion of higher-order derivative terms in the Lagrangian establishes renormalizability, since these terms modify the graviton propagator at high energies [1]. However, this leads to an obvious problem, namely that the equations of motion involve higher-order time derivatives and thus the application of the theory leads to ghosts. Nevertheless, based on the observation that it is the higher spatial derivatives that improve renormalizability while it is the higher time derivatives that lead to ghosts, some years ago Hořava had the idea to construct a theory that allows for the inclusion of higher spatial derivatives only. In order to achieve this, and motivated by the Lifshitz theory of solid state physics [4], he broke the “democratic treating” of space and time in the UV regime, introducing an anisotropic, Lifshitz scaling between them [5–8]. Hence, higher spatial derivatives are not accompanied by higher time ones (definitely this corresponds to Lorentz violation), and thus in the UV the theory exhibits power-counting renormalizability but still without ghosts. Finally, the theory presents General Relativity as an IR fixed point, as required, where Lorentz invariance is restored and space and time are handled on equal footing.

On the other hand, in [9] the authors followed a different approach. In particular, instead of modifying the action, they constructed an UV modification of the metric itself, in a construction named Gravity’s Rainbow (GRw) [9]. Hence, the deformed metric in principle exhibits a different treatment between space and time in the UV, namely on scales near the Planck scale, depending on the energy of the particle probing the space-time, while at low energies one recovers the standard metric and General Relativity is restored. Physically, one can think of it as a deformation of the metric by the Planck-scale graviton. This deformation has been shown to cure divergences (at least to one loop) avoiding any regularization/renormalization scheme [10, 11]. Hence, due to this advantage, a large amount of research has been devoted to Gravity’s Rainbow [12–33].

In the present work we are interested in examining whether there is a correspondence between Hořava-Lifshitz gravity and Gravity’s Rainbow, since both directions result in a modification of the equations in the UV regime, while they both present GR as their low-energy limit. In particular, since GR provides a natural scheme for quantization of the gravitational field, namely the Wheeler-De Witt (WDW) equation [34], which is a quantum version of the Hamiltonian constraint obtained from the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner decompo-

sition of space-time, we will impose that the WDW equation must be satisfied by GRw and HL, respectively. We will examine this correspondence on the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric at the mini-superspace level, where the problem with the scalar graviton is absent, as well as in spherically symmetric geometries.

The manuscript is organized as follows: in Section 2 we review the basic elements of Hořava-Lifshitz theory, while in Section 3 we extract the corresponding WDW equation in the case of FLRW space-time. In Section 4 we extract the WDW equation for Gravity's Rainbow in the case of FLRW space-time. Then in Section 5 we establish the correspondence between the two theories, while in Section 6 we obtain this relation for spherically symmetric spacetimes. Finally, we summarize our results in Section 7. Throughout this manuscript we use units in which $\hbar = c = k = 1$.

2 Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

We start with a brief review of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [5–8]. As we stated in the Introduction, the central idea of the theory is the different treatment of space and time, which allows us to introduce higher spatial derivatives without inserting also the annoying higher time derivatives. Thus, a convenient framework to perform the construction is the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (\mathcal{ADM}) metric decomposition, namely

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt). \quad (2.1)$$

The dynamical variables are the lapse N and shift N_i functions, and the spatial metric g_{ij} (latin indices denote spatial coordinates). The coordinates scaling transformations write as

$$t \rightarrow \ell^3 t \text{ and } x^i \rightarrow \ell x^i, \quad (2.2)$$

i.e. it is a Lifshitz scale invariance with a dynamical critical exponent $z = 3$.

The breaking of the four-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance allows for a different treatment of the kinetic and potential terms for the metric in the action, namely the kinetic term can be quadratic in time derivatives while the potential term can have higher-order space derivatives. Thus, in general, the action of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity is written as

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\Sigma \times I} dt d^3x (\mathcal{L}_K - \mathcal{L}_P), \quad (2.3)$$

with $\kappa = M_{pl}^{-2}$ the Planck mass, where the kinetic term reads as

$$\mathcal{L}_K = N\sqrt{g} (K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2), \quad (2.4)$$

with K_{ij} the extrinsic curvature defined as

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \{-\dot{g}_{ij} + \nabla_i N_j + \nabla_j N_i\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$K = K^{ij} g_{ij}$ its trace, and g is the determinant of the spatial metric g_{ij} . The constant λ is a dimensionless running coupling, which takes the value $\lambda = 1$ in the IR limit. The potential part \mathcal{L}_P can in principle contain many terms. However, one can make additional assumptions in order to reduce the possible terms, thus resulting to various versions of the theory. In the following we review the basic ones.

2.1 Detailed balance version

The assumption of “detailed balance” [7] allows for the establishment of a quantum inheritance principle [5], that is the $(D+1)$ -dimensional theory exhibits the renormalization properties of the D -dimensional one. Physically, it corresponds to the requirement that the potential term should arise from a superpotential. This condition reduces significantly the potential part of the action, resulting to

$$\mathcal{L}_{Pdb} = N\sqrt{g} \left\{ \frac{\kappa^2}{w^4} C_{ij} C^{ij} - \frac{2\kappa^{3/2}\mu}{w^2} \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}}{\sqrt{g}} R_{il} \nabla_j R_k^l + \frac{\mu^2}{\kappa} R_{ij} R^{ij} - \frac{\mu^2}{1-3\lambda} \left[\frac{1-4\lambda}{4} R^2 + \Lambda R - \frac{3\Lambda^2}{\kappa} \right] \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $C^{ij} = \epsilon^{ikl} \nabla_k (R_l^j - \delta_l^j R/4) / \sqrt{g}$ is the Cotton tensor (it is concomitant with the metric and in three dimensions it is the analogue of the Weyl tensor), the covariant derivatives are defined with respect to the spatial metric g_{ij} , and ϵ^{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric unit tensor. Finally, apart from the running coupling λ , we have three more constants, namely w , μ and Λ . We mention that the detailed balance condition, apart from reducing the possible terms in the potential part of the action, it additionally correlates their coefficients, and thus the total number of coefficients is smaller than the total number of terms.

2.2 Projectable version

Independently of the detailed balance condition one can impose the “projectability” condition, which is a weak version of the invariance with respect to time reparametrizations, namely that the lapse function is just a function of time, i.e. $N = N(t)$ [7]. Such condition allows also for a significant reduction of terms in the potential, since it eliminates the spatial derivatives of N . In this case, and neglecting parity-violating terms, the potential part of the action becomes [35, 36]

$$\mathcal{L}_P = N\sqrt{g} \left\{ g_0 \kappa^{-1} + g_1 R + \kappa (g_2 R^2 + g_3 R^{ij} R_{ij}) + \kappa^2 (g_4 R^3 + g_5 R R^{ij} R_{ij} + g_6 R_j^i R_k^j R_i^k + g_7 R \nabla^2 R + g_8 \nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^i R^{jk}) \right\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the couplings g_a ($a = 0 \dots 8$) are all dimensionless and running and moreover we can set $g_1 = -1$. Finally, note that if apart from the projectability condition one additionally imposes the detailed balance condition, then he will again result in the potential term (2.6) but with $N = N(t)$.

2.3 Non-projectable version

In the general case where neither the detailed balance nor the projectability conditions are imposed, one can have in the potential part of the action many possible curvature invariants of g_{ij} , and moreover invariants including also the vector $a_i = \partial_i \ln N$, which is now non-zero. In this case the potential part of the action becomes [37]

$$\mathcal{L}_{Pnp} = N\sqrt{g} \left\{ -\xi R - \eta a_i a^i - \frac{1}{M_A^2} \mathcal{L}_4 - \frac{1}{M_B^2} \mathcal{L}_6 \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $a_i a^i$ is the lowest-order new term, of the same order with R , and \mathcal{L}_4 and \mathcal{L}_6 respectively contain all possible fourth and sixth order invariants that can be constructed by a_i and g_{ij} and their combinations and contractions. Clearly, the above potential term contains much more terms than the projectable or the detailed-balance versions. Lastly, in order to recover GR in the IR limit, apart from the running of λ to 1, η should run to zero too, while ξ can be set to 1.

We close this section by mentioning that in all versions of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, Lorentz invariance is violated due to both the kinetic term (since λ is in general not equal to 1) as well as to terms in the potential. It is approximately and asymptotically restored in the IR, where λ runs to 1 and the potential terms will be significantly suppressed. Thus, one can apply Hořava-Lifshitz gravity in order to investigate its implications, which indeed are found to be rich and interesting at both cosmological [38–81] as well as black hole applications [82–88].

3 The WDW equation in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

In this section we examine the Wheeler-De Witt (WDW) equation in the framework of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. For convenience, and in order to simplify the calculations, we focus on the projectable version of the theory, without the detailed balanced condition, although an extension to the full, non-projectable theory, is straightforward.

The WDW equation is a quantum version of the Hamiltonian constraint obtained from the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner decomposition of space-time. Hence, let us consider a simple mini-super-space model described by the FLRW line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + a^2(t) d\Omega_3^2, \quad (3.1)$$

describing a homogeneous, isotropic and closed universe. $d\Omega_3^2(k)$ is the metric on the spatial sections, which have constant curvature $k = 0, \pm 1$, defined by

$$d\Omega_3^2 = \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \quad (3.2)$$

Additionally, $N = N(t)$ is the lapse function and $a(t)$ denotes the scale factor. In this background, the 3-dimensional Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature read

$$R_{ij} = \frac{2}{a^2(t)} \gamma_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{6}{a^2(t)}, \quad (3.3)$$

respectively. With the help of Eq.(2.7), the resulting Hamiltonian is computed by means of the usual Legendre transformation, leading to

$$H = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \mathcal{H} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x [\pi_a \dot{a} - \mathcal{L}_P], \quad (3.4)$$

where π_a is the canonical momentum. By inserting the FLRW background into \mathcal{L}_P one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_P = N \sqrt{g} \left[g_0 \kappa^{-1} + g_1 \frac{6}{a^2(t)} + \frac{12\kappa}{a^4(t)} (3g_2 + g_3) + \frac{24\kappa^2}{a^6(t)} (9g_4 + 3g_5 + g_6) \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

The term $g_0\kappa^{-1}$ plays the role of a cosmological constant. In order to make contact with the ordinary Einstein-Hilbert action in $3+1$ dimensions, we set without loss of generality

$$\begin{aligned} g_0\kappa^{-1} &\equiv 2\Lambda \\ g_1 &\equiv -1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that in the case where one desires to study the negative cosmological constant, the identification will (trivially) be $g_0\kappa^{-1} \equiv -2\Lambda$.

After having set $N = 1$, the Legendre transformation leads to

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi_a \dot{a} - \mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_P, \quad (3.7)$$

and the Hamiltonian constraint becomes [54]

$$\begin{aligned} H = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \mathcal{H} &= -\frac{\kappa\pi_a^2}{12\pi^2 a(3\lambda-1)} + 2\pi^2 a^3(t) \left[2\Lambda\kappa^{-1} - \frac{6\kappa^{-1}}{a^2(t)} + \frac{12b}{a^4(t)} + \frac{24\kappa c}{a^6(t)} \right] \\ &= \pi_a^2 + \frac{(3\lambda-1)}{\kappa^2} 24\pi^4 a^4(t) \left[\frac{6}{a^2(t)} - \frac{12\kappa b}{a^4(t)} - \frac{24\kappa^2 c}{a^6(t)} - 2\Lambda \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} 3g_2 + g_3 &= b \\ 9g_4 + 3g_5 + g_6 &= c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

General Relativity is recovered when $b = c = 0$, which does not necessarily mean that all the couplings are vanishing. Moreover, all the higher-curvature terms are automatically suppressed, since the curvature becomes small [35]. Let us mention here that the scenario described by the distorted potential Lagrangian (2.7), in the specific case of FLRW geometry that we are interested in, could be considered to arise equivalently in the framework of $f(R)$ gravity, with R the three-dimensional scalar curvature [11]. Indeed, if one starts from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{fR} = N\sqrt{g}f(R) \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f(R) &= g_0\kappa^{-1} + g_1 R - \frac{\kappa b}{3} R^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 c}{9} R^3, \\ &= 2\Lambda + R \left(1 - 2\pi b \frac{R}{R_0} - 4\pi^2 c \frac{R^2}{R_0^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and b and c given by (3.9), and extract the corresponding field equations in the case of FLRW geometry, he will obtain the same equations with those extracted from \mathcal{L}_P in (2.7). Lastly, note that we have used the definitions (3.6), while we have furthermore set $R_0 \equiv 6/G = 6/l_p^2$.

4 The WDW equation in Gravity's Rainbow

In this section we review briefly the gravity's rainbow (GRw) [9], focusing on the Hamiltonian analysis and the WDW equation. In this formulation, the space-time geometry is described by the deformed metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{N^2(t)}{g_1^2(E/E_{\text{Pl}})}dt^2 + \frac{a^2(t)}{g_2^2(E/E_{\text{Pl}})}d\Omega_3^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where $g_1(E/E_{\text{Pl}})$ and $g_2(E/E_{\text{Pl}})$ are functions of energy, which incorporate the deformation of the metric. Concerning the low-energy limit it is required to consider

$$\lim_{E/E_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow 0} g_1(E/E_{\text{Pl}}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{E/E_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow 0} g_2(E/E_{\text{Pl}}) = 1, \quad (4.2)$$

and thus to recover the usual FLRW geometry. Hence, E quantifies the energy scale at which quantum gravity effects become apparent. For instance, one of these effects would be that the graviton distorts the background metric as we approach the Planck scale.

As it has been extensively shown in the literature [10–33], GRw can be used to cure or alleviate the usual GR divergences, at least to one loop, avoiding any regularization and renormalization schemes. If we allow the energy E to evolve depending on t , one finds that the extrinsic curvature of the metric (4.1) reads

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= -\frac{g_1(E(a(t))/E_P)}{2N} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{g_{ij}}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right] \\ &= \frac{g_1(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \left[\tilde{K}_{ij} + \tilde{g}_{ij} \frac{A(t)}{N(t)} \dot{a}(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{g_2(E(a(t))/E_P) E_P} \frac{d}{dE} \left[g_2(E(a(t))/E_P) \right] \frac{dE}{da}, \quad (4.4)$$

and with dots denoting differentiation with respect to time. In the above expressions the tildes indicate the quantities computed in absence of the rainbow's functions.

The next step is to find the corresponding canonical momentum. After a short calculation, presented in Appendix A, the canonical momentum writes as

$$\pi_a = \frac{\delta S_K}{\delta \dot{a}} = \frac{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)} f(A(t), a) \tilde{\pi}_a, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$f(A(t), a) = \left[1 - 2a(t) A(t) + A^2(t) a(t)^2 \right], \quad (4.6)$$

and where

$$\tilde{\pi}_a = \frac{6\pi^2(1-3\lambda)}{\kappa} \frac{1}{N(t)} \dot{a}a. \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, we can now assemble the Hamiltonian density, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi_a \dot{a} - \mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_P, \quad (4.8)$$

where \mathcal{L}_P is the potential term whose form is

$$\mathcal{L}_P = \frac{N(t) \sqrt{\tilde{g}}}{16\pi G g_2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \left[\tilde{R} - \frac{2\Lambda}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right]. \quad (4.9)$$

Concerning the kinetic term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_K &= \pi_a \dot{a} - \mathcal{L}_K = \frac{\kappa N(t)}{12\pi^2 a} \left[\frac{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right] \frac{\pi_a^2}{(1-3\lambda)f(A(t),a)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\kappa N(t)}{12\pi^2 a} \right] \left[\frac{\tilde{\pi}_a^2}{(1-3\lambda)} \right] \left[\frac{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right] f(A(t),a), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

thus the classical Hamiltonian constraint reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{\kappa}{12\pi^2 a} \frac{\tilde{\pi}_a^2}{(1-3\lambda)} \frac{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)} f(A(t),a) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^2 a^3(t)}{\kappa g_2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \left[\frac{6}{a^2(t)} - \frac{2\Lambda}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

It is then straightforward to see that the Hamiltonian density reduces to

$$\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\pi}_a^2 + \frac{12(3\lambda-1)\pi^4 a^4(t)}{\kappa^2 g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P) f(A(t),a)} \left[g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) \frac{6}{a^2(t)} - 2\Lambda \right] = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

where we have integrated out all degrees of freedom apart from the scale factor.

5 Correspondence of Gravity's Rainbow with Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

In the previous sections we have extracted the WDW equation in the cases of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity and Gravity's Rainbow, for a FLRW background, that is expressions (3.8) and (4.12) respectively. Hence, observing their forms we deduce that it is possible to create a formal correspondence between the two formulations provided that

$$g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P) f(A(t),a) = 1 \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) \frac{6}{a^2(t)} = \frac{6}{a^2(t)} \left[1 - \frac{2\kappa b}{a^2(t)} - \frac{4\kappa^2 c}{a^4(t)} \right]. \quad (5.2)$$

Since we preserve the freedom to fix $g_2(E(a(t))/E_P)$, we impose that

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) &= 1 - \frac{2b\kappa}{a^2(t)} - \frac{4\kappa^2 c}{a^4(t)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{16bR}{R_0} - \frac{256cR^2}{R_0^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where R_0 has been defined in (3.11) as $R_0 \equiv 6/G = 6/l_p^2$. Although at first site identification (5.3) seems to be imposed *ad hoc*, it can be supported by invoking the dispersion relation

of a massless graviton which, as we show in Appendix B, for a FLRW background acquires the form

$$E^2 = \frac{k^2}{a^2(t)}, \quad (5.4)$$

with k the constant dimensionless radial wavenumber, and thus in the present case of Gravity's Rainbow it is modified to

$$\frac{E^2}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} = \frac{k^2}{a^2(t)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Since the dispersion relation (5.5) is valid at the Planck scale too, we can write

$$\frac{E^2}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \rightarrow \frac{E_P^2}{g_2^2(E_P/E_P)} = E_P^2 = \frac{k^2}{a_P^2}. \quad (5.6)$$

Hence, Eq.(5.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) &= 1 - \frac{16b\pi R}{R_0} - \frac{256c\pi^2 R^2}{R_0^2} \\ &= 1 - c_1 \frac{E^2(a(t))}{E_P^2} - c_2 \frac{E^4(a(t))}{E_P^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Therefore we deduce that

$$E^2 = R/6k^2 \quad (5.8)$$

with

$$E_P^2 = G^{-1}, \quad c_1 = 16b\pi \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = 256c\pi^2. \quad (5.9)$$

We mention here that the fact that a relation between the energy of a particle and the scalar curvature can come into play directly in the metric, is not a novelty. Indeed in [89] the scalar curvature enters into the metric via the trace of the Einstein's field equations connecting the energy-momentum tensor with the $4D$ scalar curvature. Moreover, note that the energy-momentum tensor has dimensions of energy density. Thus, and in order to take the comparison on a general ground, one can assume that $g_2(E(a(t))/E_P)$ can be represented by a formal expansion in powers of E/E_P , identifying the coefficients order by order. However, since in the present work we are comparing Gravity's Rainbow with the Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with $z = 3$, the formal Taylor expansion is truncated at the second order.

6 Correspondence in spherically symmetric backgrounds

The discussion on the WDW equations in Gravity's Rainbow and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity of the previous section was presented in homogeneous and isotropic backgrounds, namely on the FLRW metric. One could wonder whether these results are an artifact of the space-time symmetries and not of the features of the two theories. Thus, in the present section we

repeat the above analysis in the case of spherically symmetric backgrounds. In particular we consider metrics of the class

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - b(r)/r} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (6.1)$$

where $N(r)$ and $b(r)$ are arbitrary functions of the radial coordinate r , denoted as the lapse function and the form function respectively. In this case, the energies now depend on the shape function $b(r)$ and the radial coordinate r , namely

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(E/E_P) &\equiv g_1(E(b(r))/E_P) \\ g_2(E/E_P) &\equiv g_2(E(b(r))/E_P). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Hence, the metric modification appears on a scalar curvature R given by

$$R = g^{ij} R_{ij} = \frac{2b'(r)}{r^2}, \quad (6.3)$$

where the prime denotes derivative with respect to r , and we have used the mixed Ricci tensor R_j^a with components

$$R_j^a = \left\{ \frac{b'(r)}{r^2} - \frac{b(r)}{r^3}, \frac{b'(r)}{2r^2} + \frac{b(r)}{2r^3}, \frac{b'(r)}{2r^2} + \frac{b(r)}{2r^3} \right\}. \quad (6.4)$$

When GRw switches on, the line element (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & -\frac{N^2(r)}{g_1^2(E(b(r))/E_P)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{g_2^2(E(b(r))/E_P)(1 - b(r)/r)} \\ & + \frac{r^2}{g_1^2(E(b(r))/E_P)} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and the scalar curvature transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} R \rightarrow & \left[1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right] \left\{ r^4 g_2(E(b(r))) \tilde{R}^2 \left\{ \frac{d^2 g_2(E(b(r)))}{dE^2} \left[\frac{dE(b(r))}{db} \right]^2 + \frac{dg_2(E(b(r)))}{dE} \frac{d^2 E(b(r))}{db^2} \right\} \right. \\ & - \frac{3}{2} r^4 \tilde{R}^2 \left[\frac{dE(b(r))}{db} \right]^2 \left[\frac{dg_2(E(b(r)))}{dE} \right]^2 \\ & \left. + 4 g_2(E(b(r))) \frac{dE(b(r))}{db} \frac{dg_2(E(b(r)))}{dE} \frac{d^2 b(r)}{dr^2} \right\} \\ & \cdot g_2(E(b(r))) \frac{dg_2(E(b(r)))}{dE} \frac{dE(b(r))}{db} \left[-\frac{r^3}{2} \tilde{R}^2 - 3b(r) \tilde{R} + 4r \tilde{R}' \right] + g_2^2(E(b(r))) \tilde{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where the tildes indicate the quantities computed in absence of the rainbow's functions. Although this is not necessary, for simplification we focus on the case where there is no explicit dependence of E on $b(r)$, that is we assume $dE(b(r))/db = 0$. In this case the scalar curvature simplifies to

$$R \rightarrow g_2^2(E(b(r))/E_P) \tilde{R}. \quad (6.7)$$

Since the extrinsic curvature K_{ij} becomes

$$K_{ij} = -\frac{\dot{g}_{ij}}{2N} = \frac{g_1(E(b(r))/E_P)}{g_2^2(E(b(r))/E_P)} \tilde{K}_{ij}, \quad (6.8)$$

even in this case the kinetic term does not contribute at the classical level and the GRw distortion is completely encoded in the potential term. Hence, if we assume the validity of Eq. (5.7) for the spherically symmetric case too, we find

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) &= 1 + g_2 \frac{E^2(b(r))}{E_P^2} + g_4 \frac{E^4(b(r))}{E_P^4} \\ &= 1 + g_2 \frac{R}{R_0} + g_4 \frac{R^2}{R_0^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore, we conclude that one can establish a correspondence between GRw and HL in the spherically symmetric geometries too. Although we have shown this correspondence in the case of scalar curvature, we expect it to hold in the general case too, although such a feature is needed to be proven formally.

7 Conclusions

In this work we were interested in exploring the connection between two Lorentz-violating theories, namely Gravity's Rainbow and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. In Gravity's Rainbow, it is the metric that incorporates all the distortion of the space time when one approaches the Planck scale, while in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, it is the potential part of the action (or the Hamiltonian) that acquires higher-order curvature terms. Usually Gravity's Rainbow switches on because a Planckian particle distorts the gravitational metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. However, since in the present application we have neglected any matter fields, the only particle appearing is the graviton. Since the graviton is the quantum particle associated with the quantum fluctuations of the space time, we conclude that it is the gravitational field itself that it is responsible for such a distortion. This is also enforced by the dispersion relation relating the graviton energy and the scale factor, namely the scalar curvature, in the case where an FLRW background is imposed, or the graviton energy and the shape function in the case where a spherically symmetric background is imposed.

As we have shown, one can indeed establish a correspondence between the two theories, through the examination of their Wheeler-De Witt equations. However, although we have explicitly shown this in the case of two physically interesting spacetimes, namely the FLRW and the spherically symmetric ones, and thus we have a strong indication that this correspondence is not an artifact of the spacetime symmetries but rather it arises from the features of the two theories, a general proof (or disproof) in the case of arbitrary metrics is still needed. In order to handle this issue, one might use the known relation between Hořava-Lifshitz gravity and Einstein-aether theory [90–92].

It is interesting to mention that Gravity's Rainbow, in the FLRW background, generates Hořava-Lifshitz gravity under a specific form of $f(R)$ theory, with R the 3-dimensional scalar curvature. A similar result was pointed out in [89], where a connection between the rainbow's functions and a specific $f(R)$ form seems to be evident. In our analysis we saw that the obtained correspondence includes information even for the terms of the type $R^{ij}R_{ij}$, $RR^{ij}R_{ij}$ and $R_j^i R_k^j R_i^k$ that were not explicitly included. Hence, we deduce that in

order to incorporate higher curvature terms, it is likely that the rainbow's functions must include terms of the form $R^{ij}R_{ij}$ etc, a possibility that could be encoded in the Kretschmann scalar. These issues reveal that bridge between Gravity's Rainbow and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity could be much richer, and deserves further investigation.

We close this work by mentioning that in the above analysis we have remained at the background level, as a first step towards bridging the two theories. However, it is both required and interesting to examine their relation at the perturbation level too, since there are many example of theories that coincide at the background level, while being distinguishable or different when one incorporates the perturbations. Furthermore, relating the perturbations between Gravity's Rainbow and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity becomes necessary having in mind the problems of the extra mode propagation that appears in the simple versions of the latter [93–96]. Since such a detailed analysis lies beyond the scope of the present manuscript it is left for a future investigation.

A Kinetic term in Gravity's Rainbow with a time-dependent energy term

In the case where $E \equiv E(a(t))$ the extrinsic curvature of the metric (4.1) acquires the form of relation (4.3), namely

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= -\frac{g_1(E(a(t))/E_P)}{2N} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{g_{ij}}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \right] \\ &= \frac{g_1(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P)} \left[\tilde{K}_{ij} + \tilde{g}_{ij} \frac{A(t)}{N(t)} \dot{a}(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{g_2(E(a(t))/E_P) E_P} \frac{d}{dE} \left[g_2(E(a(t))/E_P) \right] \frac{dE}{da}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and with dots denoting differentiation with respect to time. In the above expressions the tildes indicate the quantities computed in absence of the rainbow's functions. The trace of the extrinsic curvature becomes

$$K = g^{ij} K_{ij} = g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) \tilde{g}^{ij} K_{ij} = g_1(E(a(t))/E_P) \left[\tilde{K} + 3 \frac{A(t)}{N(t)} \dot{a}(t) \right], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

while raising the indices in K_{ij} we obtain

$$K^{ij} = g^{il} g^{jm} K_{lm} = g_2^2(E(a(t))/E_P) g_1(E(a(t))/E_P) \left[\tilde{K}^{ij} + \tilde{g}^{ij} \frac{A(t)}{N(t)} \dot{a}(t) \right]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Hence, the kinetic term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2 &= g_1^2(E(t)/E_P) \left\{ \tilde{K}^{ij} \tilde{K}_{ij} - \lambda \tilde{K}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - 3\lambda) \left\{ \frac{2\tilde{K}}{N(t)} A(t) \dot{a}(t) + 3 \left[\frac{A(t)}{N(t)} \dot{a}(t) \right]^2 \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For the specific case of a FLRW metric we find that

$$\tilde{K}_{ij} = -\frac{\tilde{g}_{ij}}{N(t)} \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and thus

$$\tilde{K}^{ij} \tilde{K}_{ij} - \lambda \tilde{K}^2 = 3 \frac{(1-3\lambda)}{N^2(t)} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In this case Eq. (A.5) becomes

$$K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2 = 3g_1^2(E(t)/E_P) \frac{(1-3\lambda)}{N^2(t)} \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 f(A(t), a), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$f(A(t), a) = \left[1 - 2a(t) A(t) + A^2(t) a(t)^2 \right]. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

It is now possible to calculate the kinetic part of the action, which is defined as

$$S_K = \int_{\Sigma \times I} dt d^3x \mathcal{L}_K, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_K = \frac{N}{2\kappa} \sqrt{g} (K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Inserting (A.8) into S_K we obtain

$$S_K = \frac{3}{\kappa} \pi^2 \int_I dt N(t) a \dot{a}^2 \frac{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)} \frac{(1-3\lambda)}{N^2(t)} f(A(t), a), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

and thus the canonical momentum reads as

$$\pi_a = \frac{\delta S_K}{\delta \dot{a}} = \frac{g_1^2(E(a(t))/E_P)}{g_2^3(E(a(t))/E_P)} f(A(t), a) \tilde{\pi}_a, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where

$$\tilde{\pi}_a = \frac{6\pi^2}{\kappa} \frac{(1-3\lambda)}{N(t)} \dot{a}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Definitely, we restrict ourselves in the case $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{3}$, since in the special case where $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ the ultralocal metric (the one-parameter family of supermetrics which allows to disentangle gauge modes from physical deformations) [97, 98] is not invertible and becomes a projector onto the tracefree subspace.

B The Lichnerowicz equation for the graviton

In 3 + 1 dimensions the graviton operator is described by

$$O^{ikjl} = \Delta_L^{ikjl} - 4R^{il} g^{kj} + Rg^{ik} g^{jl} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} g^{ik} g^{jl}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where we have assumed the absence of mixing between time and space, which naturally follows from the structure of the FLRW metric (3.1). The Riemann tensor in 3 dimensions becomes

$$R_{ikjl} = g_{ij}R_{kl} - g_{il}R_{kj} - g_{kj}R_{il} + g_{kl}R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2}(g_{ij}g_{kl} - g_{il}g_{kj}), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and for a FLRW background the 3-dimensional Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature read

$$R_{ij} = \frac{2}{a^2(t)}\gamma_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{6}{a^2(t)}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where γ_{ij} is the metric on the spatial sections which have constant curvature $k = 0, \pm 1$, defined by

$$d\Omega_3^2 = \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Hence, the Riemann tensor reduces to

$$R_{ikjl} = -\frac{2}{a^2(t)}(\gamma_{ij}\gamma_{kl} - \gamma_{il}\gamma_{kj}). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Then, the operator O^{ikjl} on transverse traceless tensors reduces to

$$O^{ikjl} = a^{-2}(t) \left(-\nabla^a \nabla_a \gamma^{ik} \gamma^{jl} + 2\gamma^{il} \gamma^{kj} \right) + \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \gamma^{ik} \gamma^{jl}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

and the dispersion relation becomes

$$\frac{k^2}{a^2(t)} = E^2, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where as usual in the end of the calculation we have set the lapse function N to 1. Finally, as it was shown in [10], in the case of Gravity's Rainbow the above dispersion relation has to be modified to

$$\frac{k^2}{a^2(t)} = \frac{E^2}{g_2^2(E/E_P)}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

References

- [1] K. S. Stelle, *Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).
- [2] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Extended Theories of Gravity*, Phys. Rept. **509**, 167 (2011); [[arXiv:1108.6266](#)].
- [3] S. 'i. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Modified gravity with negative and positive powers of the curvature: Unification of the inflation and of the cosmic acceleration*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123512 (2003), [[arXiv:hep-th/0307288](#)].
- [4] E.M. Lifshitz, *On the Theory of Second-Order Phase Transitions I & II*, Zh. Eksp. Toer. Fiz. **11**, 255 & 269 (1941).
- [5] P. Horava, *Quantum Criticality and Yang-Mills Gauge Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **694**, 172 (2010), [[arXiv:0811.2217](#)].

- [6] P. Horava, *Membranes at Quantum Criticality*, JHEP **0903**, 020 (2009), [[arXiv:0812.4287](#)].
- [7] P. Horava, *Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point*, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009), [[arXiv:0901.3775](#)].
- [8] P. Horava, *Spectral Dimension of the Universe in Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 161301 (2009), [[arXiv:0902.3657](#)].
- [9] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Gravity's rainbow*, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 1725 (2004), [[arXiv:gr-qc/0305055](#)].
- [10] R. Garattini and G. Mandanici, *Modified Dispersion Relations lead to a finite Zero Point Gravitational Energy*, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 084021 (2011), [[arXiv:1102.3803](#)].
- [11] R. Garattini, *Distorting General Relativity: Gravity's Rainbow and $f(R)$ theories at work*, JCAP **1306**, 017 (2013), [[arXiv:1210.7760](#)].
- [12] P. Galan and G. A. Mena Marugan, *Quantum time uncertainty in a gravity's rainbow formalism*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124003 (2004). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0411089](#)].
- [13] J. Hackett, *Asymptotic flatness in rainbow gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 3833 (2006), [[arXiv:gr-qc/0509103](#)].
- [14] Y. Ling, X. Li and H. b. Zhang, *Thermodynamics of modified black holes from gravity's rainbow*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22**, 2749 (2007), [[arXiv:gr-qc/0512084](#)].
- [15] P. Galan and G. A. Mena Marugan, *Entropy and temperature of black holes in a gravity's rainbow*, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044035 (2006), [[arXiv:gr-qc/0608061](#)].
- [16] Y. Ling, S. He and H. b. Zhang, *The Kinematics of particles moving in rainbow spacetime*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **22**, 2931 (2007), [[arXiv:gr-qc/0609130](#)].
- [17] S. Weinfurtner, P. Jain, M. Visser and C. W. Gardiner, *Cosmological particle production in emergent rainbow spacetimes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 065012 (2009), [[arXiv:0801.2673](#)].
- [18] H. Li, Y. Ling and X. Han, *Modified $(A)dS$ Schwarzschild black holes in Rainbow spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 065004 (2009), [[arXiv:0809.4819](#)].
- [19] R. Garattini, *Modified Dispersion Relations and Black Hole Entropy*, Phys. Lett. B **685**, 329 (2010), [[arXiv:0902.3927](#)].
- [20] R. Garattini and P. Nicolini, *A Noncommutative approach to the cosmological constant problem*, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064021 (2011), [[arXiv:1006.5418](#)].
- [21] R. Garattini and G. Mandanici, *Particle propagation and effective space-time in Gravity's Rainbow*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 023507 (2012), [[arXiv:1109.6563](#)].
- [22] R. Garattini and F. S. N. Lobo, *Self-sustained wormholes in modified dispersion relations*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024043 (2012), [[arXiv:1111.5729](#)].
- [23] R. Garattini, *Modified Dispersion Relations: from Black-Hole Entropy to the Cosmological Constant*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **14**, 326 (2012), [[arXiv:1112.1630](#)].
- [24] R. Garattini and M. Sakellariadou, *Does Gravity's Rainbow induce Inflation without an Inflaton?*, Phys. Rev. D **90** 043521 (2014), [[arXiv:1212.4987](#)].
- [25] R. Garattini and F.S.N. Lobo, *Gravity's Rainbow induces topology change*, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014), [[arXiv:1303.5566](#)].
- [26] R. Garattini and B. Majumder, *Electric Charges and Magnetic Monopoles in Gravity's Rainbow*, Nucl. Phys. B **883** (2014), [[arXiv:1305.3390](#)].

- [27] B. Majumder, *Singularity Free Rainbow Universe*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22**, 1342021 (2013), [[arXiv:1305.3709](#)].
- [28] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, G. Gubitosi and J. Magueijo, *Rainbow gravity and scale-invariant fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 4, 041303 (2013), [[arXiv:1307.0745](#)].
- [29] A. Awad, A. F. Ali and B. Majumder, *Nonsingular Rainbow Universes*, JCAP **1310**, 052 (2013), [[arXiv:1308.4343](#)].
- [30] J. D. Barrow and J. Magueijo, *Intermediate inflation from rainbow gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 10, 103525 (2013), [[arXiv:1310.2072](#)].
- [31] R. Garattini and B. Majumder, *Naked Singularities are not Singular in Distorted Gravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **884**, (2014)[[arXiv:1311.1747](#)].
- [32] A. F. Ali, *Black Hole Remnant from Gravity's Rainbow*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 104040 (2014), [[arXiv:1402.5320](#)].
- [33] A. F. Ali, M. Faizal and M. M. Khalil, *Remnant for all Black Objects due to Gravity's Rainbow*, [[arXiv:1410.5706](#)].
- [34] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum Theory of Gravity. 1. The Canonical Theory*, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113 (1967).
- [35] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, *Quantum gravity without Lorentz invariance*, JHEP **0910**, 033 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.2798](#)].
- [36] A. Wang and R. Maartens, *Linear perturbations of cosmological models in the Horava-Lifshitz theory of gravity without detailed balance*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 024009 (2010), [[arXiv:0907.1748](#)].
- [37] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, *Consistent Extension of Horava Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 181302 (2010), [[arXiv:0909.3525](#)].
- [38] G. Calcagni, *Cosmology of the Lifshitz universe*, JHEP **0909**, 112 (2009), [[arXiv:0904.0829](#)].
- [39] E. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, *Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, Nucl. Phys. B **821**, 467 (2009), [[arXiv:0904.1334](#)].
- [40] R. Brandenberger, *Matter Bounce in Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043516 (2009), [[arXiv:0904.2835](#)].
- [41] H. Nastase, *On IR solutions in Horava gravity theories*, [[arXiv:0904.3604](#)].
- [42] S. Mukohyama, K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi and S. Yokoyama, *Phenomenological Aspects of Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, Phys. Lett. B **679**, 6 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.0055](#)].
- [43] E. N. Saridakis, *Horava-Lifshitz Dark Energy*, Eur. Phys. J. C **67**, 229 (2010), [[arXiv:0905.3532](#)].
- [44] S. Mukohyama, *Dark matter as integration constant in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064005 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.3563](#)].
- [45] A. Wang and Y. Wu, *Thermodynamics and classification of cosmological models in the Horava-Lifshitz theory of gravity*, JCAP **0907**, 012 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.4117](#)].
- [46] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Covariant Horava-like renormalizable gravity and its FRW cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 043001 (2010), [[arXiv:0905.4213](#)].
- [47] Y. F. Cai and E. N. Saridakis, *Non-singular cosmology in a model of non-relativistic gravity*,

- JCAP **0910**, 020 (2009), [[arXiv:0906.1789](#)].
- [48] T. Harko, Z. Kovacs and F. S. N. Lobo, *Testing Horava-Lifshitz gravity using thin accretion disk properties*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 044021 (2009), [[arXiv:0907.1449](#)].
 - [49] K. Yamamoto, T. Kobayashi and G. Nakamura, *Breaking the scale invariance of the primordial power spectrum in Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 063514 (2009), [[arXiv:0907.1549](#)].
 - [50] T. Kobayashi, Y. Urakawa and M. Yamaguchi, *Large scale evolution of the curvature perturbation in Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **0911**, 015 (2009), [[arXiv:0908.1005](#)].
 - [51] G. Leon and E. N. Saridakis, *Phase-space analysis of Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **0911**, 006 (2009), [[arXiv:0909.3571](#)].
 - [52] A. Wang, D. Wands and R. Maartens, *Scalar field perturbations in Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **1003**, 013 (2010), [[arXiv:0909.5167](#)].
 - [53] S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, *Observational constraints on Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **1001**, 013 (2010), [[arXiv:0911.1435](#)].
 - [54] R. Garattini, *The Cosmological constant as an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian constraint in Horava-Lifshitz theory*, Phys. Rev. D **86** 123507 (2012), [[arXiv:0912.0136](#)].
 - [55] R. G. Cai and A. Wang, *Singularities in Horava-Lifshitz theory*, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 166 (2010), [[arXiv:1001.0155](#)].
 - [56] S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, *Overall observational constraints on the running parameter λ of Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, JCAP **1005**, 013 (2010), [[arXiv:1002.3373](#)].
 - [57] J. Kluson, *String in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 086007 (2010), [[arXiv:1002.2849](#)].
 - [58] M. Jamil, E. N. Saridakis and M. R. Setare, *The generalized second law of thermodynamics in Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **1011**, 032 (2010), [[arXiv:1003.0876](#)].
 - [59] E. J. Son and W. Kim, *Smooth cosmological phase transition in the Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, JCAP **1006**, 025 (2010), [[arXiv:1003.3055](#)].
 - [60] S. Carloni, M. Chaichian, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, M. Oksanen and A. Tureanu, *Modified first-order Horava-Lifshitz gravity: Hamiltonian analysis of the general theory and accelerating FRW cosmology in power-law $F(R)$ model*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 065020 (2010), [[arXiv:1003.3925](#)].
 - [61] M. Jamil and E. N. Saridakis, *New agegraphic dark energy in Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, JCAP **1007**, 028 (2010), [[arXiv:1003.5637](#)].
 - [62] G. Koutsoumbas, E. Papantonopoulos, P. Pasipoularides and M. Tsoukalas, *Black Hole Solutions in 5D Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124014 (2010), [[arXiv:1004.2289](#)].
 - [63] A. Ali, S. Dutta, E. N. Saridakis and A. A. Sen, *Horava-Lifshitz cosmology with generalized Chaplygin gas*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 657 (2012), [[arXiv:1004.2474](#)].
 - [64] G. Koutsoumbas and P. Pasipoularides, *Black hole solutions in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity with cubic terms*, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 044046 (2010), [[arXiv:1006.3199](#)].
 - [65] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and D. Saez-Gomez, *Unifying inflation with dark energy in modified $F(R)$ Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 351 (2010), [[arXiv:1006.3387](#)].

- [66] E. N. Saridakis, *Aspects of Horava-Lifshitz cosmology*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20**, 1485 (2011), [[arXiv:1101.0300](#)].
- [67] H. Quevedo, A. Sanchez, S. Taj and A. Vazquez, *Geometrothermodynamics in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, J. Phys. A **45**, 055211 (2012), [[arXiv:1101.4494](#)].
- [68] A. Abdujabbarov, B. Ahmedov and A. Hakimov, *Particle Motion around Black Hole in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044053 (2011), [[arXiv:1101.4741](#)].
- [69] K. Izumi and S. Mukohyama, *Nonlinear superhorizon perturbations in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064025 (2011), [[arXiv:1105.0246](#)].
- [70] E. N. Saridakis, *Constraining Horava-Lifshitz gravity from neutrino speed experiments*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **45**, 387 (2013), [[arXiv:1110.0697](#)].
- [71] T. Zhu, F. W. Shu, Q. Wu and A. Wang, *General covariant Horava-Lifshitz gravity without projectability condition and its applications to cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044053 (2012), [[arXiv:1110.5106](#)].
- [72] T. Christodoulakis and N. Dimakis, *Classical and Quantum Bianchi Type III vacuum Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, J. Geom. Phys. **62**, 2401 (2012), [[arXiv:1112.0903](#)].
- [73] F. Briscese, Y. Rodriguez and G. A. Gonzalez, *On the true nature of renormalizability in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Found. Phys. **42**, 1444 (2012), [[arXiv:1205.1722](#)].
- [74] T. Zhu, Y. Huang and A. Wang, *Inflation in general covariant Hořava-Lifshitz gravity without projectability*, JHEP **1301**, 138 (2013), [[arXiv:1208.2491](#)].
- [75] R. Maier, *Nonlinear Resonance in Hořava-Lifshitz Bouncing Cosmologies*, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 115011 (2013), [[arXiv:1302.0139](#)].
- [76] J. Bellorin, A. Restuccia and A. Sotomayor, *Consistent Hoava gravity without extra modes and equivalent to general relativity at the linearized level*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 8, 084020 (2013), [[arXiv:1302.1357](#)].
- [77] B. C. Paul, P. Thakur and M. M. Verma, *Observational constraints on modified Chaplygin gas in Horava-Lifshitz gravity with dark radiation*, Pramana **81**, 691 (2013).
- [78] J. Alexandre and M. Kostacinska, *Galaxy Rotation Curves in Covariant Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Galaxies **2**, 1 (2014), [[arXiv:1303.1394](#)].
- [79] S. Chattopadhyay and A. Pasqua, *A study on modified holographic Ricci dark energy in modified $f(R)$ Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Can. J. Phys. **92**, 200 (2014).
- [80] A. Pasqua, S. Chattopadhyay, M. Khurshudyan, R. Myrzakulov, M. Hakobyan and A. Movsisyan, *Power Law and Logarithmic Ricci Dark Energy Models in Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology*, [[arXiv:1403.8095](#)].
- [81] J. Suresh, T. R and V. C. Kuriakose, *A unified thermodynamic picture of Hořava-Lifshitz black hole in arbitrary space time*, [[arXiv:1408.0911](#)].
- [82] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, *Topological Black Holes in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 024003 (2009), [[arXiv:0904.3670](#)].
- [83] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, *The Black hole and FRW geometries of non-relativistic gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **678**, 123 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.0477](#)].
- [84] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, *Thermodynamics of Black Holes in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **679**, 504 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.0751](#)].

- [85] M. i. Park, *The Black Hole and Cosmological Solutions in IR modified Horava Gravity*, JHEP **0909**, 123 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.4480](#)].
- [86] E. B. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, *On Horava-Lifshitz 'Black Holes'*, JHEP **1001**, 122 (2010), [[arXiv:0910.5487](#)].
- [87] B. R. Majhi, *Hawking radiation and black hole spectroscopy in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 49 (2010), [[arXiv:0911.3239](#)].
- [88] O. Goldoni, M. F. A. da Silva, G. Pinheiro and R. Chan, *Vaidya solutions in general covariant Horava-Lifshitz gravity without projectability: Infrared limit*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, 1450068 (2014), [[arXiv:1401.4115](#)].
- [89] G. J. Olmo, *Palatini Actions and Quantum Gravity Phenomenology*, JCAP **1110**, 018 (2011), [[arXiv:1101.2841](#)].
- [90] T. Jacobson, *Extended Horava gravity and Einstein-aether theory*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 101502 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. D **82**, 129901 (2010)], [[arXiv:1001.4823](#)].
- [91] W. Donnelly and T. Jacobson, *Hamiltonian structure of Horava gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 104019 (2011), [[arXiv:1106.2131](#)].
- [92] K. Yagi, D. Blas, E. Barausse and N. Yunes, *Constraints on Einstein-Æther theory and Horava gravity from binary pulsar observations*, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084067 (2014), [[arXiv:1311.7144](#)].
- [93] C. Charmousis, G. Niz, A. Padilla and P. M. Saffin, *Strong coupling in Horava gravity*, JHEP **0908**, 070 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.2579](#)].
- [94] M. Li and Y. Pang, *A Trouble with Horava-Lifshitz Gravity*, JHEP **0908**, 015 (2009), [[arXiv:0905.2751](#)].
- [95] C. Bogdanos and E. N. Saridakis, *Perturbative instabilities in Horava gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 075005 (2010), [[arXiv:0907.1636](#)].
- [96] K. Koyama and F. Arroja, *Pathological behaviour of the scalar graviton in Horava-Lifshitz gravity*, JHEP **1003**, 061 (2010), [[arXiv:0910.1998](#)].
- [97] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, *The Path Integral Measure, Conformal Factor Problem and Stability of the Ground State of Quantum Gravity* Nucl. Phys. B **341**, 187 (1990).
- [98] D. Giulini, *What is the geometry of superspace?* Phys. Rev. D, **51** 5630 (1995).